

Donna H. Bakalor

Associate

D: 303.564.1219 | dbakalor@hpslaw.com



COLORADO

OVERVIEW

Over the course of her career, Donna Bakalor has represented pharmaceutical companies, airlines, product manufacturers, national banks, and other general tort and personal injury clients throughout the United States in all aspects of litigation from pre-suit investigation through appeal.

If a matter cannot be resolved prior to litigation, Donna works with her clients to prepare a litigation plan that satisfies their goals in an efficient and cost effective manner. Throughout the lifecycle of each case, Donna's attention to detail and organizational skills keep her matters on track, her clients informed and their goals at the forefront of her work.

She has argued a variety of motions and appeals in both state and federal courts in Colorado, Massachusetts, and New York.

REPORTED DECISIONS

Donna has numerous reported decisions including:

- *Joline v. Laerdal Medical*, 820 N.Y.S.2d 635 (2nd Dept. 2006)
- *Curley v. AMR*, 153 F.3d 5 (2nd Cir. 1998)

PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

Prior to attending law school, Donna obtained a B.A. in psychology and an M.S. in education. Her multidisciplinary background set the stage for her creative problem solving abilities and unique approach to litigation.

MEMBERSHIPS

- Colorado Bar Association
- Continental Divide Bar Association
- Denver Bar Association
- Mountain Mentors

PERSONAL

Donna is an avid ice hockey player, mountain biker, and skier. She uses her competitive and disciplined personality to her client's advantage.

AREAS OF FOCUS

- Healthcare Litigation
- Healthcare Counseling

EDUCATION

Northeastern School of Law

J.D. (1995)

University of Vermont

B.A. Psychology *cum laude* (1987)

Hofstra University

M.S. Education (1990)

BAR ADMISSIONS

- New York (1996)
- Massachusetts (1996)
- Minnesota (1997)
- Colorado (2007)
- California (2008)
- Washington (2008)

COURT ADMISSIONS

- New York State and Federal Court (1996)
- Colorado State and Federal Court (2007)
- California State and Federal Court (2008)